From: TKunkle@NapoliBern.com Sent: 5/22/2012 3:07:15 PM

To: "Humane Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Zia.Humane@epamail.epa.gov>

CC:

Subject: RE: Dimock: Sampling Plans

thanks

From: Humane Zia [mailto:Zia.Humane@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2012 2:59 PM

To: Tate Kunkle

Subject: RE: Dimock: Sampling Plans

Generally, it's the individual contractor employee names (chemists, analysts) etc. that are treated as CBI and are redacted for FOIA. In some cases company (subcontractor) names are also viewed as CBI.

Humane Zia

Senior Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 3 1650 Arch St. (3RC41) Philadelphia, PA 19103

phone: 215.814.3454 fax: 215.814.2603

From: "Tate Kunkle" < TKunkle@NapoliBern.com>

To: Humane Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/22/2012 02:46 PM

Subject: RE: Dimock: Sampling Plans

These and the sampling 1 plan all have lab identification on it. Why would EPA be redacting if its already public?

From: Humane Zia [mailto:Zia.Humane@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2012 2:34 PM

To: Tate Kunkle

Subject: Dimock: Sampling Plans

Tate, Here are the new sampling plans we discussed. These have been posted to EPA's OSC webpage today. -Humane

Humane Zia

Senior Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 3 1650 Arch St. (3RC41) Philadelphia, PA 19103

phone: 215.814.3454 fax: 215.814.2603

DIM0131677 DIM0131677